



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/938,614

08/27/2001

Hiroshi Kageyama

A8319.0004/P004

2342

24998

7590

08/26/2004

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP
2101 L STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526

EXAMINER

SHAPIRO, LEONID

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2673

15

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER <u>09/938 614</u>	FILING DATE <u>08/27/01</u>	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT <u>H. Kageyama</u>	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. <u>A 8319.0004</u>
---	--------------------------------	---	---

EXAMINER <u>LEONID SHAPIRO</u>	
ART UNIT <u>2673</u>	PAPER NUMBER <u>15</u>

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

DATE MAILED:

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Michael Weinstein (3) SHANKAR Vijay
(2) Leonid SHAPIRO (4) _____
Date of Interview 08.19.04

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4, 9-12

Identification of prior art discussed: Proebsting, Akiyama et al, Minami et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed Amendments to claims 1-4, 9-12 were discussed in detail. Clarification was recommended by examiner. New search will be done.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.